# Cadmium; CASRN 7440-43-9

Human health assessment information on a chemical substance is included in the IRIS database only after a comprehensive review of toxicity data, as outlined in the <u>IRIS assessment</u> <u>development process</u>. Sections I (Health Hazard Assessments for Noncarcinogenic Effects) and II (Carcinogenicity Assessment for Lifetime Exposure) present the conclusions that were reached during the assessment development process. Supporting information and explanations of the methods used to derive the values given in IRIS are provided in the <u>guidance documents located</u> <u>on the IRIS website</u>.

#### STATUS OF DATA FOR Cadmium

#### File First On-Line 03/31/1987

Category (section)	Assessment Available?	Last Revised
Oral RfD (I.A.)	yes	10/01/1989
Inhalation RfC (I.B.)	not evaluated	
Carcinogenicity Assessment (II.)	yes	03/31/1987

## I. Chronic Health Hazard Assessments for Noncarcinogenic Effects

#### I.A. Reference Dose for Chronic Oral Exposure (RfD)

Substance Name — Cadmium CASRN — 7440-43-9 Last Revised — 10/01/1989

The oral Reference Dose (RfD) is based on the assumption that thresholds exist for certain toxic effects such as cellular necrosis. It is expressed in units of mg/kg-day. In general, the RfD is an estimate (with uncertainty spanning perhaps an order of magnitude) of a daily exposure to the human population (including sensitive subgroups) that is likely to be without an appreciable risk of deleterious effects during a lifetime. Please refer to the Background Document for an elaboration of these concepts. RfDs can also be derived for the noncarcinogenic health effects of substances that are also carcinogens. Therefore, it is essential to refer to other sources of

1

information concerning the carcinogenicity of this substance. If the U.S. EPA has evaluated this substance for potential human carcinogenicity, a summary of that evaluation will be contained in Section II of this file.

#### I.A.1. Oral RfD Summary

Critical Effect	Experimental Doses*	UF	MF	RfD
Significant proteinuria	NOAEL (water): 0.005 mg/kg/day	10	1	5E-4 mg/kg/day (water)
		10	1	
Human studies involving chronic exposures	NOAEL (food): 0.01 mg/kg/day			1E-3 mg/kg/day (food)
U.S. EPA, 1985				

\* Conversion Factors: See text for discussion

#### I.A.2. Principal and Supporting Studies (Oral RfD)

U.S. EPA. 1985. Drinking Water Criteria Document on Cadmium. Office of Drinking Water, Washington, DC. (Final draft)

A concentration of 200 ug cadmium (Cd)/gm wet human renal cortex is the highest renal level not associated with significant proteinuria (U.S. EPA, 1985). A toxicokinetic model is available to determine the level of chronic human oral exposure (NOAEL) which results in 200 ug Cd/gm wet human renal cortex; the model assumes that 0.01% day of the Cd body burden is eliminated per day (U.S. EPA, 1985). Assuming 2.5% absorption of Cd from food or 5% from water, the toxicokinetic model predicts that the NOAEL for chronic Cd exposure is 0.005 and 0.01 mg Cd/kg/day from water and food, respectively (i.e., levels which would result in 200 ug Cd/gm wet weight human renal cortex). Thus, based on an estimated NOAEL of 0.005 mg Cd/kg/day (water) was calculated; an equivalent RfD for Cd in food is 0.001 mg Cd/kg/day (see Section VI.A. for references).

#### I.A.3. Uncertainty and Modifying Factors (Oral RfD)

UF — This uncertainty factor is used to account for intrahuman variability to the toxicity of this chemical in the absence of specific data on sensitive individuals.

MF — None

#### I.A.4. Additional Studies/Comments (Oral RfD)

Cd is unusual in relation to most, if not all, of the substances for which an oral RfD has been determined in that a vast quantity of both human and animal toxicity data are available. The RfD is based on the highest level of Cd in the human renal cortex (i.e., the critical level) not associated with significant proteinuria (i.e., the critical effect). A toxicokinetic model has been used to determine the highest level of exposure associated with the lack of a critical effect. Since the fraction of ingested Cd that is absorbed appears to vary with the source (e.g., food vs. drinking water), it is necessary to allow for this difference in absorption when using the toxicokinetic model to determine an RfD.

## I.A.5. Confidence in the Oral RfD

Study — Not applicable Database — High RfD — High

The choice of NOAEL does not reflect the information from any single study. Rather, it reflects the data obtained from many studies on the toxicity of cadmium in both humans and animals. These data also permit calculation of pharmacokinetic parameters of cadmium absorption, distribution, metabolism and elimination. All of this information considered together gives high confidence in the database. High confidence in either RfD follows as well.

## I.A.6. EPA Documentation and Review of the Oral RfD

Source Document — U.S. EPA, 1985

Other EPA Documentation - None

Agency Work Group Review — 05/15/1986, 08/19/1986, 09/17/1987, 12/15/1987, 01/20/1988, 05/25/1988

Verification Date — 05/25/1988

## I.A.7. EPA Contacts (Oral RfD)

Please contact the IRIS Hotline for all questions concerning this assessment or IRIS, in general, at (202)566-1676 (phone), (202)566-1749 (FAX) or <u>hotline.iris@epa.gov</u> (internet address).

#### I.B. Reference Concentration for Chronic Inhalation Exposure (RfC)

Substance Name — Cadmium CASRN — 7440-43-9

Not available at this time.

# **II.** Carcinogenicity Assessment for Lifetime Exposure

Substance Name — Cadmium CASRN — 7440-43-9 Last Revised — 03/31/1987

Section II provides information on three aspects of the carcinogenic assessment for the substance in question; the weight-of-evidence judgment of the likelihood that the substance is a human carcinogen, and quantitative estimates of risk from oral exposure and from inhalation exposure. The quantitative risk estimates are presented in three ways. The slope factor is the result of application of a low-dose extrapolation procedure and is presented as the risk per (mg/kg)/day. The unit risk is the quantitative estimate in terms of either risk per ug/L drinking water or risk per ug/cu.m air breathed. The third form in which risk is presented is a drinking water or air concentration providing cancer risks of 1 in 10,000, 1 in 100,000 or 1 in 1,000,000. The rationale and methods used to develop the carcinogenicity information in IRIS are described in The Risk Assessment Guidelines of 1986 (EPA/600/8-87/045) and in the IRIS Background Document. IRIS summaries developed since the publication of EPA's more recent Proposed Guidelines for Carcinogen Risk Assessment also utilize those Guidelines where indicated (Federal Register 61(79):17960-18011, April 23, 1996). Users are referred to Section I of this IRIS file for information on long-term toxic effects other than carcinogenicity.

## II.A. Evidence for Human Carcinogenicity

#### II.A.1. Weight-of-Evidence Characterization

Classification — B1; probable human carcinogen

Basis — Limited evidence from occupational epidemiologic studies of cadmium is consistent across investigators and study populations. There is sufficient evidence of carcinogenicity in rats and mice by inhalation and intramuscular and subcutaneous injection. Seven studies in rats and mice wherein cadmium salts (acetate, sulfate, chloride) were administered orally have shown no evidence of carcinogenic response.

#### II.A.2. Human Carcinogenicity Data

Limited. A 2-fold excess risk of lung cancer was observed in cadmium smelter workers. The cohort consisted of 602 white males who had been employed in production work a minimum of 6 months during the years 1940-1969. The population was followed to the end of 1978. Urine cadmium data available for 261 workers employed after 1960 suggested a highly exposed population. The authors were able to ascertain that the increased lung cancer risk was probably not due to the presence of arsenic or to smoking (Thun et al., 1985). An evaluation by the Carcinogen Assessment Group of these possible confounding factors has indicated that the assumptions and methods used in accounting for them appear to be valid. As the SMRs observed were low and there is a lack of clear cut evidence of a causal relationship of the cadmium exposure only, this study is considered to supply limited evidence of human carcinogenicity.

An excess lung cancer risk was also observed in three other studies which were, however, compromised by the presence of other carcinogens (arsenic, smoking) in the exposure or by a small population (Varner, 1983; Sorahan and Waterhouse, 1983; Armstrong and Kazantzis, 1983).

Four studies of workers exposed to cadmium dust or fumes provided evidence of a statistically significant positive association with prostate cancer (Kipling and Waterhouse, 1967; Lemen et al., 1976; Holden, 1980; Sorahan and Waterhouse, 1983), but the total number of cases was small in each study. The Thun et al. (1985) study is an update of an earlier study (Lemen et al., 1976) and does not show excess prostate cancer risk in these workers. Studies of human ingestion of cadmium are inadequate to assess carcinogenicity.

## II.A.3. Animal Carcinogenicity Data

Exposure of Wistar rats by inhalation to cadmium as cadmium chloride at concentrations of 12.5, 25 and 50 ug/cu.m for 18 months, with an additional 13-month observation period, resulted in significant increases in lung tumors (Takenaka et al., 1983). Intratracheal instillation of cadmium oxide did not produce lung tumors in Fischer 344 rats but rather mammary tumors in males and tumors at multiple sites in males (Sanders and Mahaffey, 1984). Injection site tumors and distant site tumors (for example, testicular) have been reported by a number of authors as a consequence of intramuscular or subcutaneous administration of cadmium metal and chloride, sulfate, oxide and sulfide compounds of cadmium to rats and mice (U.S. EPA, 1985). Seven studies in rats and mice where cadmium salts (acetate, sulfate, chloride) were administered orally have shown no evidence of a carcinogenic response.

#### II.A.4. Supporting Data for Carcinogenicity

Results of mutagenicity tests in bacteria and yeast have been inconclusive. Positive responses have been obtained in mutation assays in Chinese hamster cells (Dom and V79 lines) and in mouse lymphoma cells (Casto, 1976; Ochi and Ohsawa, 1983; Oberly et al., 1982).

Conflicting results have been obtained in assays of chromosomal aberrations in human lymphocytes treated in vitro or obtained from exposed workers. Cadmium treatment in vivo or in vitro appears to interfere with spindle formation and to result in aneuploidy in germ cells of mice and hamsters (Shimada et al., 1976; Watanabe et al., 1979; Gilliavod and Leonard, 1975).

#### II.B. Quantitative Estimate of Carcinogenic Risk from Oral Exposure

Not available. There are no positive studies of orally ingested cadmium suitable for quantitation.

#### II.C. Quantitative Estimate of Carcinogenic Risk from Inhalation Exposure

#### **II.C.1. Summary of Risk Estimates**

Inhalation Unit Risk — 1.8E-3 per (ug/cu.m)

Extrapolation Method — Two stage; only first affected by exposure; extra risk

Air Concentrations at Specified Risk Levels:

Risk Level	Concentration
E-4 (1 in 10,000)	6E-2 ug/cu.m
E-5 (1 in 100,000)	6E-3 ug/cu.m
E-6 (1 in 1,000,000)	6E-4 ug/cu.m

#### II.C.2. Dose-Response Data for Carcinogenicity, Inhalation Exposure

Tumor Type — lung, trachea, bronchus cancer deaths Test animals — human/white male Route — inhalation, exposure in the workplace

Reference — Thun et al., 1985

Cumulative Exposure (mg/day/cu.m)	Median Observation	24 hour/ ug/cu.m Equivalent	No. of Expected Lung, Trachea and Bronchus Cancers Assuming No Cadmium Effect	Observed No. of Deaths (lung, trachea, bronchus cancers)
less than or equal to 584	280	168	3.77	2
585-2920	1210	727	4.61	7
greater than or equal to 2921	4200	2522	2.50	7

#### The 24-hour equivalent = median observation x IE+3 x 8/24 x 1/365 x 240/365.

## **II.C.3.** Additional Comments (Carcinogenicity, Inhalation Exposure)

The unit risk should not be used if the air concentration exceeds 6 ug/cu.m, since above this concentration the unit risk may not be appropriate.

#### **II.C.4.** Discussion of Confidence (Carcinogenicity, Inhalation Exposure)

The data were derived from a relatively large cohort. Effects of arsenic and smoking were accounted for in the quantitative analysis for cadmium effects.

An inhalation unit risk for cadmium based on the Takenaka et al. (1983) analysis is 9.2E-2 per (ug/cu.m). While this estimate is higher than that derived from human data [1.8E-3 per (ug/cu.m)] and thus more conservative, it was felt that the use of available human data was more reliable because of species variations in response and the type of exposure (cadmium salt vs. cadmium fume and cadmium oxide).

#### **II.D. EPA Documentation, Review, and Contacts (Carcinogenicity Assessment)**

#### **II.D.1. EPA Documentation**

Source Document — U.S. EPA, 1985

The Addendum to the Cadmium Health Assessment has received both Agency and external review.

#### **II.D.2. EPA Review (Carcinogenicity Assessment)**

Agency Work Group Review — 11/12/1986

Verification Date — 11/12/1986

#### II.D.3. EPA Contacts (Carcinogenicity Assessment)

Please contact the IRIS Hotline for all questions concerning this assessment or IRIS, in general, at (202)566-1676 (phone), (202)566-1749 (FAX) or <u>hotline.iris@epa.gov</u> (internet address).

III. [reserved]IV. [reserved]V. [reserved]

## **VI.** Bibliography

Substance Name — Cadmium CASRN — 7440-43-9

#### VI.A. Oral RfD References

Foulkes, E.C. 1986. Absorption of cadmium. In: Handbook of Experimental Pharmacology, E.C. Foulkes, Ed. Springer Verlag, Berlin. Vol. 80, p. 75-100.

Friberg, L., M. Piscator, G.F. Nordberg and T. Kjellstrom. 1974. Cadmium in the environment, 2nd ed. CRC Press, Inc., Boca Raton, FL.

Shaikh, Z.A. and J.C. Smith. 1980. Metabolism of orally ingested cadmium in humans. In: Mechanisms of Toxicity and Hazard Evaluation, B. Holmstedt et al., Ed. Elsevier Publishing Co., Amsterdam. p. 569-574.

U.S. EPA. 1985. Drinking Water Criteria Document on Cadmium. Office of Drinking Water, Washington, DC. (Final draft)

WHO (World Health Organization). 1972. Evaluation of certain food additives and the contaminants mercury, lead, and cadmium. Sixteenth Report of the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives. WHO Technical Report Series No. 505, FAO Nutrition Meetings Report Series No. 51. Geneva, Switzerland.

WHO (World Health Organization). 1984. Guidelines for drinking water quality -- recommendations. Vol. 1. Geneva, Switzerland.

#### **VI.B. Inhalation RfC References**

None

#### VI.C. Carcinogenicity Assessment References

Armstrong, B.G. and G. Kazantzis. 1983. The mortality of cadmium workers. Lancet. June 25, 1983: 1425-1427.

Casto, B. 1976. Letter to Richard Troast, U.S. EPA. Enclosing mutagenicity data on cadmium chloride and cadmium acetate.

Gilliavod, N. and A. Leonard. 1975. Mutagenicity tests with cadmium in the mouse. Toxicology. 5: 43-47.

Holden, H. 1980. Further mortality studies on workers exposed to cadmium fumes. Presented at Seminar on Occupational Exposure to Cadmium, March 20, 1980, London, England.

Kipling, M.D. and J.A.H. Waterhouse. 1967. Cadmium and prostatic carcinoma. Lancet. 1: 730.

Lemen, R.A., J.S. Lee, J.K. Wagoner and H.P. Blejer. 1976. Cancer mortality among cadmium production workers. Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci. 271: 273.

Oberly, T., C.E. Piper and D.S. McDonald. 1982. Mutagenicty of metal salts in the L5178 Y mouse lymphoma assay. J. Toxicol. Environ. Health. 9: 367-376.

Ochi, T. and M. Ohsawa. 1983. Induction of 6-thioguanine-resistant mutants and single-strand scission DNA by cadmium chloride in cultured Chinese hamster cells. Mutat. Res. 111: 69-78.

Sanders, C.L. and J.A. Mahaffey. 1984. Carcinogenicity of single and multiple intratracheal instillations of cadmium oxide in the rat. Environ. Res. 33: 227-233.

Shimada, T., T. Watanabe and A. Endo. 1976. Potential mutagenicity of cadmium in mammalian oocytes. Mutat. Res. 40: 389-396.

Sorahan, T. and J.A.H. Waterhouse. 1983. Mortality study of nickel-cadmium battery workers by the method of regression models in life tables. Br. J. Ind. Med. 40: 293-300.

Takenaka, S., H. Oldiges, H. Konig, D. Hochrainer and G. Oberdoerster. 1983. Carcinogenicity of cadmium aerosols in Wistar rats. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 70: 367-373.

Thun, M.J., T.M. Schnorr, A.B. Smith and W.E. Halperin. 1985. Mortality among a cohort of U.S. cadmium production workers: An update. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 74(2): 325-333.

U.S. EPA. 1985. Updated Mutagenicity and Carcinogenicity Assessment of Cadmium. Addendum to the Health Assessment Document for Cadmium (EPA 600/B- B1-023). EPA 600/B-83-025F.

Varner, M.O. 1983. Updated epidemiologic study of cadmium smelter workers. Presented at the Fourth International Cadmium Conference. Unpublished.

Watanabe, T., T. Shimada and A. Endo. 1979. Mutagenic effects of cadmium on mammalian oocyte chromosomes. Mutat. Res. 67: 349-356.

# **VII. Revision History**

Substance Name — Cadmium CASRN — 7440-43-9

Date	Section	Description
10/01/1989	I.A.	Oral RfD summary on-line

# **VIII.** Synonyms

Substance Name — Cadmium CASRN — 7440-43-9 Last Revised — 03/31/1987

- 7440-43-9
- C.I. 77180
- Cadmium
- KADMIUM