



TOXICOLOGICAL REVIEW

OF

TRICHLOROETHYLENE

(CAS No. 79-01-6)

**In Support of Summary Information on the
Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS)**

September 2011

DISCLAIMER

This document has been reviewed in accordance with U.S. Environmental Protection Agency policy and approved for publication. Mention of trade names or commercial products does not constitute endorsement or recommendation for use.

GUIDE TO READERS OF THIS DOCUMENT

Due to the length of the TCE toxicological review, it is recommended that Chapters 1 and 6 be read prior to Chapters 2–5.

Chapter 1 is the standard introduction to an IRIS Toxicological Review, describing the purpose of the assessment and the guidelines used in its development.

Chapter 2 is an exposure characterization that summarizes information about TCE sources, releases, media levels, and exposure pathways for the general population (occupational exposure is also discussed to a lesser extent).

Chapter 3 describes the toxicokinetics and physiologically based pharmacokinetic (PBPK) modeling of TCE and metabolites (PBPK modeling details are in Appendix A).

Chapter 4 is the hazard characterization of TCE. Section 4.1 summarizes the evaluation of epidemiologic studies of cancer and TCE (qualitative details in Appendix B; meta-analyses in Appendix C). Each of the Sections 4.2–4.9 provides a self-contained summary and syntheses of the epidemiologic and laboratory studies on TCE and metabolites, organized by tissue/type of effects, in the following order: genetic toxicity, central nervous system (CNS), kidney, liver, immune system, respiratory tract, reproduction and development, and other cancers. Additional details are provided in Appendix D for CNS effects and in Appendix E for liver effects. Section 4.10 summarizes the available data on susceptible lifestages and populations. Section 4.11 describes the overall hazard characterization, including the weight of evidence for noncancer effects and for carcinogenicity.

Chapter 5 is the dose-response assessment of TCE. Section 5.1 describes the dose-response analyses for noncancer effects, and Section 5.2 describes the dose-response analyses for cancer. Additional computational details are described in Appendix F for noncancer dose-response analyses, Appendix G for cancer dose-response analyses based on rodent bioassays, and Appendix H for cancer dose-response analyses based on human epidemiologic data.

Chapter 6 is the summary of the major conclusions in the characterization of TCE hazard and dose response.

Appendix I contains the summary of EPA's response to major external peer review and public comments.

CONTENTS of TOXICOLOGICAL REVIEW for TRICHLOROETHYLENE
(CAS No. 79-01-6)

TOXICOLOGICAL REVIEW OF TRICHLOROETHYLENE	i
DISCLAIMER	ii
GUIDE TO READERS OF THIS DOCUMENT	iii
CONTENTS of TOXICOLOGICAL REVIEW for TRICHLOROETHYLENE.....	iv
LIST OF TABLES	xiv
LIST OF FIGURES	xxv
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS	xxix
FOREWORD	xxxv
AUTHORS, CONTRIBUTORS, AND REVIEWERS	xxxvi
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	xlii
1. INTRODUCTION	1-1
2. EXPOSURE CHARACTERIZATION	2-1
2.1. ENVIRONMENTAL SOURCES.....	2-1
2.2. ENVIRONMENTAL FATE.....	2-6
2.2.1. Fate in Terrestrial Environments	2-6
2.2.2. Fate in the Atmosphere	2-6
2.2.3. Fate in Aquatic Environments	2-6
2.3. EXPOSURE CONCENTRATIONS.....	2-6
2.3.1. Outdoor Air—Measured Levels	2-6
2.3.2. Outdoor Air—Modeled Levels	2-8
2.3.3. Indoor Air.....	2-10
2.3.4. Water.....	2-12
2.3.5. Other Media	2-14
2.3.6. Biological Monitoring.....	2-15
2.4. EXPOSURE PATHWAYS AND LEVELS	2-16
2.4.1. General Population.....	2-16
2.4.1.1. Inhalation	2-16
2.4.1.2. Ingestion.....	2-17

2.4.1.3. Dermal.....	2-18
2.4.1.4. Exposure to TCE Related Compounds	2-19
2.4.2. Potentially Highly Exposed Populations	2-20
2.4.2.1. Occupational Exposure	2-20
2.4.2.2. Consumer Exposure.....	2-22
2.4.3. Exposure Standards.....	2-22
2.5. EXPOSURE SUMMARY	2-22
3. TOXICOKINETICS	3-1
3.1. ABSORPTION	3-2
3.1.1. Oral	3-2
3.1.2. Inhalation	3-4
3.1.3. Dermal.....	3-9
3.2. DISTRIBUTION AND BODY BURDEN.....	3-9
3.3. METABOLISM	3-16
3.3.1. Introduction.....	3-16
3.3.2. Extent of Metabolism.....	3-16
3.3.3. Pathways of Metabolism.....	3-20
3.3.3.1. CYP-Dependent Oxidation	3-20
3.3.3.2. GSH Conjugation Pathway	3-35
3.3.3.3. Relative roles of the CYP and GSH pathways.....	3-50
3.4. TCE EXCRETION	3-53
3.4.1. Exhaled Air	3-53
3.4.2. Urine	3-54
3.4.3. Feces	3-56
3.5. PBPK MODELING OF TCE AND ITS METABOLITES	3-57
3.5.1. Introduction.....	3-57
3.5.2. Previous PBPK Modeling of TCE for Risk Assessment Application.....	3-57
3.5.3. Development and Evaluation of an Interim “Harmonized” TCE PBPK Model	3-59
3.5.4. PBPK Model for TCE and Metabolites Used for This Assessment.....	3-60
3.5.4.1. Introduction.....	3-60
3.5.4.2. Updated PBPK Model Structure	3-63
3.5.4.3. Specification of Baseline PBPK Model Parameter.....	3-65
3.5.4.4. Dose-Metric Predictions	3-67
3.5.5. Bayesian Estimation of PBPK Model Parameters, and Their Uncertainty and Variability.....	3-68
3.5.5.1. Updated Pharmacokinetic Database	3-68
3.5.5.2. Updated Hierarchical Population Statistical Model and Prior Distributions.....	3-75
3.5.5.3. Use of Interspecies Scaling to Update Prior Distributions in the Absence of Other Data.....	3-77

3.5.5.4.	Implementation	3-80
3.5.6.	Evaluation of Updated PBPK Model.....	3-80
3.5.6.1.	Convergence	3-80
3.5.6.2.	Evaluation of Posterior Parameter Distributions	3-82
3.5.6.3.	Comparison of Model Predictions With Data.....	3-103
3.5.6.4.	Sensitivity Analysis With Respect to Calibration Data	3-126
3.5.6.5.	Summary Evaluation of Updated PBPK Model	3-132
3.5.7.	PBPK Model Dose-Metric Predictions	3-133
3.5.7.1.	Characterization of Uncertainty and Variability.....	3-133
3.5.7.2.	Local Sensitivity Analysis With Respect to Dose-Metric Predictions.....	3-142
3.5.7.3.	Implications for the Population Pharmacokinetics of TCE.....	3-155
3.5.7.4.	Key Limitations and Potential Implications of Violating Key Assumptions.....	3-162
3.5.7.5.	Overall Evaluation of PBPK Model-Based Internal Dose Predictions	3-163
4.	HAZARD CHARACTERIZATION	4-1
4.1.	EPIDEMIOLOGIC STUDIES ON CANCER AND TCE—METHODODOLOGICAL OVERVIEW.....	4-1
4.2.	GENETIC TOXICITY.....	4-29
4.2.1.	TCE.....	4-30
4.2.1.1.	DNA Binding Studies	4-30
4.2.1.2.	Bacterial Systems—Gene Mutations	4-31
4.2.1.3.	Fungal and Yeast Systems—Gene Mutations, Conversions, and Recombination	4-35
4.2.1.4.	Mammalian Systems Including Human Studies	4-37
4.2.1.5.	Summary	4-48
4.2.2.	TCA.....	4-49
4.2.2.1.	Bacterial Systems—Gene Mutations	4-49
4.2.2.2.	Mammalian Systems.....	4-51
4.2.2.3.	Summary	4-55
4.2.3.	DCA	4-56
4.2.3.1.	Bacterial and Fungal Systems—Gene Mutations	4-56
4.2.3.2.	Mammalian Systems.....	4-60
4.2.3.3.	Summary	4-62
4.2.4.	CH.....	4-62
4.2.4.1.	DNA Binding Studies	4-62
4.2.4.2.	Bacterial and Fungal Systems—Gene Mutations	4-69
4.2.4.3.	Mammalian Systems.....	4-70
4.2.4.4.	Summary	4-72
4.2.5.	DCVC and DCVG	4-73
4.2.6.	TCOH.....	4-78
4.2.7.	Synthesis and Overall Summary.....	4-79
4.3.	CENTRAL NERVOUS SYSTEM (CNS) TOXICITY	4-83

4.3.1.	Alterations in Nerve Conduction	4-83
4.3.1.1.	Trigeminal Nerve Function: Human Studies	4-83
4.3.1.2.	Nerve Conduction Velocity—Human Studies	4-87
4.3.1.3.	Trigeminal Nerve Function: Laboratory Animal Studies.....	4-88
4.3.1.4.	Discussion and Conclusions: TCE-Induced Trigeminal Nerve Impairment	4-89
4.3.2.	Auditory Effects.....	4-91
4.3.2.1.	Auditory Function: Human Studies	4-91
4.3.2.2.	Auditory Function: Laboratory Animal Studies	4-93
4.3.2.3.	Summary and Conclusion of Auditory Effects	4-97
4.3.3.	Vestibular Function.....	4-99
4.3.3.1.	Vestibular Function: Human Studies	4-99
4.3.3.2.	Vestibular Function: Laboratory Animal Data	4-99
4.3.3.3.	Summary and Conclusions for the Vestibular Function Studies	4-100
4.3.4.	Visual Effects.....	4-101
4.3.4.1.	Visual Effects: Human Studies	4-101
4.3.4.2.	Visual Effects: Laboratory Animal Data	4-103
4.3.4.3.	Summary and Conclusion of Visual Effects	4-105
4.3.5.	Cognitive Function.....	4-106
4.3.5.1.	Cognitive Effects: Human Studies.....	4-106
4.3.5.2.	Cognitive Effects: Laboratory Animal Studies.....	4-109
4.3.5.3.	Summary and Conclusions of Cognitive Function Studies.....	4-110
4.3.6.	Psychomotor Effects	4-111
4.3.6.1.	Psychomotor Effects: Human Studies	4-111
4.3.6.2.	Psychomotor Effects: Laboratory Animal Data.....	4-114
4.3.6.3.	Summary and Conclusions for Psychomotor Effects	4-118
4.3.7.	Mood Effects and Sleep Disorders	4-119
4.3.7.1.	Effects on Mood: Human Studies	4-119
4.3.7.2.	Effects on Mood: Laboratory Animal Findings.....	4-120
4.3.7.3.	Sleep Disturbances.....	4-120
4.3.8.	Developmental Neurotoxicity.....	4-120
4.3.8.1.	Human Studies	4-120
4.3.8.2.	Animal Studies.....	4-121
4.3.8.3.	Summary and Conclusions for the Developmental Neurotoxicity Studies	4-125
4.3.9.	Mechanistic Studies of TCE Neurotoxicity	4-125
4.3.9.1.	Dopamine Neuron Disruption.....	4-125
4.3.9.2.	Neurochemical and Molecular Changes	4-127
4.3.10.	Potential Mechanisms for TCE-Mediated Neurotoxicity	4-131
4.3.11.	Overall Summary and Conclusions—Weight of Evidence	4-133
4.4.	KIDNEY TOXICITY AND CANCER	4-137
4.4.1.	Human Studies of Kidney.....	4-137
4.4.1.1.	Nonspecific Markers of Nephrotoxicity	4-137
4.4.1.2.	End-Stage Renal Disease (ESRD)	4-143
4.4.2.	Human Studies of Kidney Cancer.....	4-143

4.4.2.1.	Studies of Job Titles and Occupations with Historical TCE Usage.....	4-154
4.4.2.2.	Cohort and Case-Controls Studies of TCE Exposure.....	4-155
4.4.2.3.	Examination of Possible Confounding Factors.....	4-160
4.4.2.4.	Susceptible Populations—Kidney Cancer and TCE Exposure.....	4-164
4.4.2.5.	Meta-Analysis for Kidney Cancer.....	4-166
4.4.3.	Human Studies of Somatic Mutation of <i>VHL</i> Gene.....	4-172
4.4.4.	Kidney Noncancer Toxicity in Laboratory Animals.....	4-177
4.4.5.	Kidney Cancer in Laboratory Animals.....	4-185
4.4.5.1.	Inhalation Studies of TCE.....	4-185
4.4.5.2.	Gavage and Drinking Water Studies of TCE.....	4-187
4.4.5.3.	Conclusions: Kidney Cancer in Laboratory Animals.....	4-188
4.4.6.	Role of Metabolism in TCE Kidney Toxicity.....	4-188
4.4.6.1.	In Vivo Studies of the Kidney Toxicity of TCE Metabolites.....	4-189
4.4.6.2.	In Vitro Studies of Kidney Toxicity of TCE and Metabolites.....	4-197
4.4.6.3.	Conclusions as to the Active Agents of TCE-Induced Nephrotoxicity.....	4-199
4.4.7.	Mode(s) of Action for Kidney Carcinogenicity.....	4-199
4.4.7.1.	Hypothesized Mode of Action: Mutagenicity.....	4-200
4.4.7.2.	Hypothesized Mode of Action: Cytotoxicity and Regenerative Proliferation.....	4-209
4.4.7.3.	Additional Hypothesized Modes of Action with Limited Evidence or Inadequate Experimental Support.....	4-212
4.4.7.4.	Conclusions About the Hypothesized Modes of Action.....	4-213
4.4.8.	Summary: TCE Kidney Toxicity, Carcinogenicity, and Mode of Action.....	4-216
4.5.	LIVER TOXICITY AND CANCER.....	4-218
4.5.1.	Liver Noncancer Toxicity in Humans.....	4-218
4.5.2.	Liver Cancer in Humans.....	4-224
4.5.3.	Experimental Studies of TCE in Rodents—Introduction.....	4-239
4.5.4.	TCE-Induced Liver Noncancer Effects.....	4-241
4.5.4.1.	Liver Weight.....	4-247
4.5.4.2.	Cytotoxicity and Histopathology.....	4-251
4.5.4.3.	Measures of DNA Synthesis, Cellular Proliferation, and Apoptosis.....	4-258
4.5.4.4.	Peroxisomal Proliferation and Related Effects.....	4-261
4.5.4.5.	Oxidative Stress.....	4-263
4.5.4.6.	Bile Production.....	4-264
4.5.4.7.	Summary: TCE-Induced Noncancer Effects in Laboratory Animals.....	4-265
4.5.5.	TCE-Induced Liver Cancer in Laboratory Animals.....	4-266
4.5.5.1.	Negative or Inconclusive Studies of Mice and Rats.....	4-266
4.5.5.2.	Positive TCE Studies of Mice.....	4-273

4.5.5.3.	Summary: TCE-Induced Cancer in Laboratory Animals	4-275
4.5.6.	Role of Metabolism in Liver Toxicity and Cancer	4-275
4.5.6.1.	Pharmacokinetics of CH, TCA, and DCA from TCE Exposure	4-276
4.5.6.2.	Comparisons Between TCE and TCA, DCA, and CH Noncancer Effects.....	4-277
4.5.6.3.	Comparisons of TCE-Induced Carcinogenic Responses with TCA, DCA, and CH Studies.....	4-296
4.5.6.4.	Conclusions Regarding the Role of TCA, DCA, and CH in TCE-Induced Effects in the Liver	4-320
4.5.7.	Mode of Action for TCE Liver Carcinogenicity.....	4-321
4.5.7.1.	Mutagenicity	4-321
4.5.7.2.	PPAR α Receptor Activation	4-323
4.5.7.3.	Additional Proposed Hypotheses and Key Events with Limited Evidence or Inadequate Experimental Support.....	4-332
4.5.7.4.	Mode-of-Action Conclusions.....	4-342
4.6.	IMMUNOTOXICITY AND CANCERS OF THE IMMUNE SYSTEM.....	4-354
4.6.1.	Human Studies	4-354
4.6.1.1.	Noncancer Immune-Related Effects	4-354
4.6.1.2.	Cancers of the Immune System, Including Childhood Leukemia	4-366
4.6.2.	Animal Studies.....	4-401
4.6.2.1.	Immunosuppression	4-401
4.6.2.2.	Hypersensitivity	4-409
4.6.2.3.	Autoimmunity	4-412
4.6.2.4.	Cancers of the Immune System	4-424
4.6.3.	Summary	4-427
4.6.3.1.	Noncancer Effects	4-427
4.6.3.2.	Cancer	4-429
4.7.	RESPIRATORY TRACT TOXICITY AND CANCER	4-431
4.7.1.	Epidemiologic Evidence	4-431
4.7.1.1.	Chronic Effects: Inhalation	4-431
4.7.1.2.	Cancer	4-431
4.7.2.	Laboratory Animal Studies	4-443
4.7.2.1.	Respiratory Tract Animal Toxicity	4-443
4.7.2.2.	Respiratory Tract Cancer	4-451
4.7.3.	Role of Metabolism in Pulmonary Toxicity	4-454
4.7.4.	Mode of Action for Pulmonary Carcinogenicity	4-459
4.7.4.1.	Mutagenicity via Oxidative Metabolism	4-459
4.7.4.2.	Cytotoxicity Leading to Increased Cell Proliferation	4-461
4.7.4.3.	Additional Hypothesized Modes of Action with Limited Evidence or Inadequate Experimental Support.....	4-462

4.7.4.4.	Conclusions About the Hypothesized Modes of Action.....	4-463
4.7.5.	Summary and Conclusions	4-465
4.8.	REPRODUCTIVE AND DEVELOPMENTAL TOXICITY.....	4-467
4.8.1.	Reproductive Toxicity	4-467
4.8.1.1.	Human Reproductive Outcome Data	4-467
4.8.1.2.	Animal Reproductive Toxicity Studies.....	4-474
4.8.1.3.	Discussion/Synthesis of Noncancer Reproductive Toxicity Findings	4-487
4.8.2.	Cancers of the Reproductive System	4-493
4.8.2.1.	Human Data	4-493
4.8.2.2.	Animal Studies.....	4-509
4.8.2.3.	Mode of Action for Testicular Tumors.....	4-510
4.8.3.	Developmental Toxicity.....	4-511
4.8.3.1.	Human Developmental Data.....	4-511
4.8.3.2.	Animal Developmental Toxicology Studies	4-534
4.8.3.3.	Discussion/Synthesis of Developmental Data	4-556
4.9.	OTHER SITE-SPECIFIC CANCERS.....	4-572
4.9.1.	Esophageal Cancer.....	4-572
4.9.2.	Bladder Cancer.....	4-583
4.9.3.	CNS and Brain Cancers	4-590
4.10.	SUSCEPTIBLE LIFESTAGES AND POPULATIONS	4-595
4.10.1.	Lifestages	4-595
4.10.1.1.	Early Lifestages	4-595
4.10.1.2.	Later Lifestages.....	4-605
4.10.2.	Other Susceptibility Factors.....	4-606
4.10.2.1.	Gender.....	4-606
4.10.2.2.	Genetic Variability.....	4-611
4.10.2.3.	Race/Ethnicity.....	4-613
4.10.2.4.	Preexisting Health Status	4-613
4.10.2.5.	Lifestyle Factors and Nutrition Status	4-614
4.10.2.6.	Mixtures	4-617
4.10.3.	Uncertainty of Database and Research Needs for Susceptible Populations.....	4-617
4.11.	HAZARD CHARACTERIZATION	4-619
4.11.1.	Characterization of Noncancer Effects	4-619
4.11.1.1.	Neurotoxicity	4-619
4.11.1.2.	Kidney Toxicity	4-623
4.11.1.3.	Liver Toxicity	4-624
4.11.1.4.	Immunotoxicity.....	4-626
4.11.1.5.	Respiratory Tract Toxicity.....	4-627
4.11.1.6.	Reproductive Toxicity	4-628
4.11.1.7.	Developmental Toxicity.....	4-629
4.11.2.	Characterization of Carcinogenicity	4-632
4.11.2.1.	Summary Evaluation of Epidemiologic Evidence of TCE and Cancer.....	4-633

4.11.2.2. Summary of Evidence for TCE Carcinogenicity in Rodents	4-641
4.11.2.3. Summary of Additional Evidence on Biological Plausibility	4-644
4.11.3. Characterization of Factors Impacting Susceptibility.....	4-649
5. DOSE-RESPONSE ASSESSMENT	5-1
5.1. DOSE-RESPONSE ANALYSES FOR NONCANCER ENDPOINTS	5-1
5.1.1. Modeling Approaches and UFs for Developing Candidate Reference Values Based on Applied Dose	5-3
5.1.2. Candidate Critical Effects by Effect Domain	5-7
5.1.2.1. Candidate Critical Neurological Effects on the Basis of Applied Dose	5-7
5.1.2.2. Candidate Critical Kidney Effects on the Basis of Applied Dose	5-14
5.1.2.3. Candidate Critical Liver Effects on the Basis of Applied Dose	5-21
5.1.2.4. Candidate Critical Body Weight Effects on the Basis of Applied Dose	5-22
5.1.2.5. Candidate Critical Immunological Effects on the Basis of Applied Dose	5-22
5.1.2.6. Candidate Critical Respiratory Tract Effects on the Basis of Applied Dose	5-27
5.1.2.7. Candidate Critical Reproductive Effects on the Basis of Applied Dose	5-27
5.1.2.8. Candidate Critical Developmental Effects on the Basis of Applied Dose	5-38
5.1.2.9. Summary of cRfCs, cRfDs, and Candidate Critical Effects	5-46
5.1.3. Application of PBPK Model to Inter- and Intraspecies Extrapolation for Candidate Critical Effects.....	5-49
5.1.3.1. Selection of Dose-metrics for Different Endpoints	5-49
5.1.3.2. Methods for Inter- and Intraspecies Extrapolation Using Internal Doses.....	5-57
5.1.3.3. Results and Discussion of p-RfCs and p-RfDs for Candidate Critical Effects	5-75
5.1.4. Uncertainties in cRfCs and cRfDs	5-76
5.1.4.1. Qualitative Uncertainties	5-76
5.1.4.2. Quantitative Uncertainty Analysis of PBPK Model-Based Dose-metrics for LOAEL- or NOAEL-Based PODs.....	5-79
5.1.5. Summary of Noncancer Reference Values	5-89
5.1.5.1. Preferred Candidate Reference Values (cRfCs, cRfD, p-cRfCs, and p-cRfDs) for Candidate Critical Effects	5-89
5.1.5.2. RfC.....	5-95
5.1.5.3. RfD.....	5-98
5.2. DOSE-RESPONSE ANALYSIS FOR CANCER ENDPOINTS.....	5-101

5.2.1.	Dose-Response Analyses: Rodent Bioassays	5-101
5.2.1.1.	Rodent Dose-Response Analyses: Studies and Modeling Approaches.....	5-102
5.2.1.2.	Rodent Dose-Response Analyses: Dosimetry	5-110
5.2.1.3.	Rodent Dose-Response Analyses: Results.....	5-118
5.2.1.4.	Uncertainties in Dose-Response Analyses of Rodent Bioassays	5-129
5.2.2.	Dose-Response Analyses: Human Epidemiologic Data	5-139
5.2.2.1.	Inhalation Unit Risk Estimate for RCC Derived from Charbotel et al. (2006) Data.....	5-139
5.2.2.2.	Adjustment of the Inhalation Unit Risk Estimate for Multiple Sites.....	5-147
5.2.2.3.	Route-to-Route Extrapolation Using PBPK Model.....	5-150
5.2.3.	Summary of Unit Risk Estimates.....	5-153
5.2.3.1.	Inhalation Unit Risk Estimate.....	5-153
5.2.3.2.	Oral Slope Factor Estimate	5-154
5.2.3.3.	Application of ADAFs.....	5-155
5.3.	KEY RESEARCH NEEDS FOR TCE DOSE-RESPONSE ANALYSES.....	5-164

6. MAJOR CONCLUSIONS IN THE CHARACTERIZATION OF HAZARD

6.	AND DOSE RESPONSE	6-1
6.1.	HUMAN HAZARD POTENTIAL.....	6-1
6.1.1.	Exposure (see Chapter 2).....	6-1
6.1.2.	Toxicokinetics and PBPK Modeling (see Chapter 3 and Appendix A).....	6-2
6.1.3.	Noncancer Toxicity.....	6-4
6.1.3.1.	Neurological Effects (see Sections 4.3 and 4.11.1.1 and Appendix D).....	6-4
6.1.3.2.	Kidney Effects (see Sections 4.4.1, 4.4.4, 4.4.6, and 4.11.1.2).....	6-5
6.1.3.3.	Liver Effects (see Sections 4.5.1, 4.5.3, 4.5.4, 4.5.6, and 4.11.1.3, and Appendix E)	6-6
6.1.3.4.	Immunological Effects (see Sections 4.6.1.1, 4.6.2, and 4.11.1.4)	6-7
6.1.3.5.	Respiratory Tract Effects (see Sections 4.7.1.1, 4.7.2.1, 4.7.3, and 4.11.1.5)	6-8
6.1.3.6.	Reproductive Effects (see Sections 4.8.1 and 4.11.1.6).....	6-8
6.1.3.7.	Developmental Effects (see Sections 4.8.3 and 4.11.1.7).....	6-9
6.1.4.	Carcinogenicity (see Sections 4.1, 4.2, 4.4.2, 4.4.5, 4.4.7, 4.5.2, 4.5.5, 4.5.6, 4.5.7, 4.6.1.2, 4.6.2.4, 4.7.1.2, 4.7.2.2, 4.7.4, 4.8.2, 4.9, and 4.11.2, and Appendices B and C).....	6-11
6.1.5.	Susceptibility (see Sections 4.10 and 4.11.3)	6-17
6.2.	DOSE-RESPONSE ASSESSMENT	6-18
6.2.1.	Noncancer Effects (see Section 5.1)	6-18

6.2.1.1.	Background and Methods	6-18
6.2.1.2.	Uncertainties and Application of UFs (see Sections 5.1.1 and 5.1.4)	6-19
6.2.1.3.	Noncancer Reference Values (see Section 5.1.5)	6-28
6.2.2.	Cancer (see Section 5.2)	6-31
6.2.2.1.	Background and Methods (rodent: see Section 5.2.1.1; human: see Section 5.2.2.1).....	6-31
6.2.2.2.	Inhalation Unit Risk Estimate (rodent: see Section 5.2.1.3; human: see Sections 5.2.2.1 and 5.2.2.2).....	6-33
6.2.2.3.	Oral Slope Factor Estimate (rodent: see Section 5.2.1.3; human: see Section 5.2.2.3).....	6-35
6.2.2.4.	Uncertainties in Cancer Dose-Response Assessment	6-36
6.2.2.5.	Application of ADAFs (see Section 5.2.3.3)	6-41
6.3.	OVERALL CHARACTERIZATION OF TCE HAZARD AND DOSE RESPONSE.....	6-42
7.	References.....	7-1
APPENDIX A:	PBPK MODELING OF TCE AND METABOLITES–DETAILED METHODS AND RESULTS	A-1
APPENDIX B:	SYSTEMATIC REVIEW OF EPIDEMIOLOGIC STUDIES ON CANCER AND TRICHLOROETHYLENE (TCE) EXPOSURE	B-1
APPENDIX C:	META-ANALYSIS OF CANCER RESULTS FROM EPIDEMIOLOGICAL STUDIES	C-1
APPENDIX D:	NEUROLOGICAL EFFECTS OF TRICHLOROETHYLENE.....	D-1
APPENDIX E:	ANALYSIS OF LIVER AND COEXPOSURE ISSUES FOR THE TCE TOXICOLOGICAL REVIEW	E-1
APPENDIX F:	TCE NONCANCER DOSE-RESPONSE ANALYSES	F-1
APPENDIX G:	TCE CANCER DOSE-RESPONSE ANALYSES WITH RODENT CANCER BIOASSAY DATA	G-1
APPENDIX H:	LIFETABLE ANALYSIS AND WEIGHTED LINEAR REGRESSION BASED ON RESULTS FROM CHARBOTEL ET AL.	H-1
APPENDIX I:	EPA RESPONSE TO MAJOR PEER REVIEW AND PUBLIC COMMENTS	I-1

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2-1. TCE metabolites and related parent compounds ^a	2-1
Table 2-2. Chemical properties of TCE	2-2
Table 2-3. Properties and uses of TCE related compounds	2-3
Table 2-4. TRI releases of TCE (pounds/year)	2-4
Table 2-5. Concentrations of TCE in ambient air	2-7
Table 2-6. TCE ambient air monitoring data ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$)	2-8
Table 2-7. Mean TCE air levels across monitors by land setting and use (1985– 1998)	2-8
Table 2-8. Concentrations of TCE in water based on pre-1990 studies	2-12
Table 2-9. Levels in food	2-15
Table 2-10. TCE levels in whole blood by population percentile	2-16
Table 2-11. Modeled 1999 annual exposure concentrations ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) for TCE	2-16
Table 2-12. Preliminary estimates of TCE intake from food ingestion	2-18
Table 2-13. Preliminary intake estimates of TCE and TCE-related chemicals	2-19
Table 2-14. Years of solvent use in industrial degreasing and cleaning operations	2-21
Table 2-15. TCE standards	2-22
Table 3-1. Blood:air partition coefficient values for humans	3-4
Table 3-2. Blood:air partition coefficient values for rats and mice	3-5
Table 3-3. Air and blood concentrations during exposure to TCE in humans	3-6
Table 3-4. Retention of inhaled TCE vapor in humans	3-6
Table 3-5. Uptake of TCE in volunteers following 4 hour exposure to 70 ppm	3-7
Table 3-6. Concentrations of TCE in maternal and fetal blood at birth	3-11
Table 3-7. Distribution of TCE to rat tissues ^a following inhalation exposure	3-12
Table 3-8. Tissue:blood partition coefficient values for TCE	3-13
Table 3-9. Age-dependence of tissue:air partition coefficients in rats	3-14
Table 3-10. Predicted maximal concentrations of TCE in rat blood following a 6-hour inhalation exposure	3-14
Table 3-11. Tissue distribution of TCE metabolites following inhalation exposure	3-15
Table 3-12. Binding of [¹⁴ C] from [¹⁴ C]-TCE in rat liver and kidney at 72 hours after oral administration of 200 mg/kg [¹⁴ C]-TCE	3-16
Table 3-13. In vitro TCE oxidative metabolism in hepatocytes and microsomal fractions	3-22
Table 3-14. In vitro kinetics of TCOH and TCA formation from CH in rat, mouse, and human liver homogenates	3-25

Table 3-15. In vitro kinetics of DCA metabolism in hepatic cytosol of mice, rats, and humans	3-27
Table 3-17. Reported TCA plasma binding parameters ^a	3-29
Table 3-18. Partition coefficients for TCE oxidative metabolites	3-30
Table 3-19. Urinary excretion of TCA by various species exposed to TCE (based on data reviewed in Fisher et al., 1991)	3-32
Table 3-20. P450 isoform kinetics for metabolism of TCE to CH in human, rat, and mouse recombinant P450s.....	3-33
Table 3-21. P450 isoform activities in human liver microsomes exhibiting different affinities for TCE	3-34
Table 3-22. Comparison of peak blood concentrations in humans exposed to 100 ppm (537 mg/m ³) TCE for 4 hours	3-38
Table 3-23. GSH conjugation of TCE (at 1–2 mM) in liver and kidney cellular fractions in humans, male F344 rats, and male B6C3F ₁ mice from Lash laboratory	3-39
Table 3-24. Kinetics of TCE metabolism via GSH conjugation in male F344 rat kidney and human liver and kidney cellular and subcellular fractions from Lash laboratory	3-40
Table 3-25. GSH conjugation of TCE (at 1.4–4 mM) in liver and kidney cellular fractions in humans, male F344 rats, and male B6C3F ₁ mice from Green and Dekant laboratories	3-41
Table 3-26. GGT activity in liver and kidney subcellular fractions of mice, rats, and humans	3-47
Table 3-27. Multispecies comparison of whole-organ activity levels of GGT and dipeptidase	3-47
Table 3-28. Comparison of hepatic in vitro oxidation and conjugation of TCE ^a	3-51
Table 3-29. Estimates of DCVG in blood relative to inhaled TCE dose in humans exposed to 50 and 100 ppm (269 and 537 mg/m ³)	3-52
Table 3-30. Concentrations of TCE in expired breath from inhalation-exposed humans (Astrand and Ovrum, 1976).....	3-53
Table 3-31. Conclusions from evaluation of Hack et al. (2006), and implications for PBPK model development	3-61
Table 3-32. Discussion of changes to the Hack et al. (2006) PBPK model implemented for this assessment	3-65
Table 3-33. PBPK model-based dose-metrics	3-67
Table 3-34. Rodent studies with pharmacokinetic data considered for analysis	3-69
Table 3-35. Human studies with pharmacokinetic data considered for analysis	3-73

Table 3-36. Parameters for which scaling from mouse to rat, or from mouse and rat to human, was used to update the prior distributions	3-78
Table 3-37. Prior and posterior uncertainty and variability in mouse PBPK model parameters	3-84
Table 3-38. Prior and posterior uncertainty and variability in rat PBPK model parameters	3-89
Table 3-39. Prior and posterior uncertainty and variability in human PBPK model parameters	3-94
Table 3-40. CI widths (ratio of 97.5–2.5% estimates) and fold-shift in median estimate for the PBPK model population median parameters, sorted in order of decreasing CI width ^a	3-98
Table 3-41. Estimates of the residual-error.....	3-104
Table 3-42. Summary comparison of updated PBPK model predictions and in vivo data in mice.....	3-108
Table 3-43. Summary comparison of updated PBPK model predictions and in vivo data used for “calibration” in rats	3-114
Table 3-44. Summary comparison of updated PBPK model predictions and in vivo data used for “out-of-sample” evaluation in rats	3-116
Table 3-45. Summary comparison of updated PBPK model predictions and in vivo data used for “calibration” in humans.....	3-121
Table 3-46. Summary comparison of updated PBPK model predictions and in vivo data used for “out-of-sample” evaluation in humans.....	3-123
Table 3-47. Summary of scaling parameters ordered by fraction of calibration data of moderate or high sensitivity.....	3-131
Table 3-48. Posterior predictions for representative internal doses: mouse ^a	3-144
Table 3-49. Posterior predictions for representative internal doses: rat ^a	3-145
Table 3-50. Posterior predictions for representative internal doses: human ^a	3-146
Table 3-51. Degree of variance in dose-metric predictions due to incomplete convergence (columns 2–4), combined uncertainty and population variability (columns 5–7), uncertainty in particular human population percentiles (columns 8–10), model fits to in vivo data (column 11); the GSD is a “fold-change” from the central tendency	3-164
Table 4-1. Description of epidemiologic cohort and proportionate mortality ratio (PMR) studies assessing cancer and TCE exposure	4-2
Table 4-2. Case-control epidemiologic studies examining cancer and TCE exposure	4-8
Table 4-3. Geographic-based studies assessing cancer and TCE exposure.....	4-19

Table 4-4. Standards of epidemiologic study design and analysis use for identifying cancer hazard and TCE exposure	4-21
Table 4-5. Summary of criteria for meta-analysis study selection	4-24
Table 4-6. TCE genotoxicity: bacterial assays	4-33
Table 4-7. TCE genotoxicity: fungal and yeast systems.....	4-36
Table 4-8. TCE genotoxicity: mammalian systems—gene mutations and chromosome aberrations	4-39
Table 4-9. TCE genotoxicity: mammalian systems—micronucleus, sister chromatic exchanges.....	4-43
Table 4-10. TCE genotoxicity: mammalian systems—UDS, DNA strand breaks/protein crosslinks, and cell transformation.....	4-46
Table 4-11. Genotoxicity of TCA—bacterial systems	4-50
Table 4-12. TCA Genotoxicity—mammalian systems (both in vitro and in vivo).....	4-52
Table 4-13. Genotoxicity of DCA (bacterial systems)	4-57
Table 4-14. Genotoxicity of DCA—mammalian systems.....	4-58
Table 4-15. CH genotoxicity: bacterial, yeast, and fungal systems.....	4-63
Table 4-16. CH genotoxicity: mammalian systems—all genetic endpoints, in vitro.....	4-65
Table 4-17. CH genotoxicity: mammalian systems—all genetic damage, in vivo.....	4-67
Table 4-18. TCE GSH conjugation metabolites genotoxicity	4-74
Table 4-19. Genotoxicity of TCOH.....	4-79
Table 4-20. Summary of human trigeminal nerve and nerve conduction velocity studies	4-84
Table 4-21. Summary of animal trigeminal nerve studies.....	4-88
Table 4-22. Summary of human auditory function studies.....	4-92
Table 4-23. Summary of animal auditory function studies.....	4-94
Table 4-24. Summary of vestibular system studies	4-100
Table 4-25. Summary of human visual function studies	4-102
Table 4-26. Summary of animal visual system studies.....	4-104
Table 4-27. Summary of human cognition effect studies	4-107
Table 4-28. Summary of animal cognition effect studies	4-109
Table 4-29. Summary of human CRT studies	4-112
Table 4-30. Summary of animal psychomotor function and RT studies	4-114
Table 4-31. Summary of animal locomotor activity studies.....	4-116
Table 4-32. Summary of animal mood effect and sleep disorder studies	4-120
Table 4-33. Summary of human developmental neurotoxicity associated with TCE exposures	4-121

Table 4-34. Summary of mammalian in vivo developmental neurotoxicity studies—oral exposures	4-122
Table 4-35. Summary of animal dopamine neuronal studies	4-127
Table 4-36. Summary of neurophysiological, neurochemical, and neuropathological effects with TCE exposure	4-128
Table 4-37. Summary of in vitro ion channel effects with TCE exposure	4-130
Table 4-38. Summary of human kidney toxicity studies	4-139
Table 4-39. Summary of human studies on TCE exposure and kidney cancer	4-144
Table 4-40. Summary of case-control studies on kidney cancer and occupation or job title	4-152
Table 4-41. Summary of lung and kidney cancer risks in active smokers	4-162
Table 4-42. Summary of human studies on somatic mutations of the <i>VHL</i> gene ^a	4-174
Table 4-43. Inhalation studies of kidney noncancer toxicity in laboratory animals	4-178
Table 4-44. Oral and i.p. studies of kidney noncancer toxicity in laboratory animals	4-179
Table 4-45. Summary of renal toxicity and tumor findings in gavage studies of TCE by NTP (1990) ^a	4-181
Table 4-46. Summary of renal toxicity and tumor findings in gavage studies of TCE by NCI (1976) ^a	4-182
Table 4-47. Summary of renal toxicity findings in gavage studies of TCE by Maltoni et al. (1988, 1986)	4-183
Table 4-48. Summary of renal toxicity and tumor incidence in gavage studies of TCE by NTP (1988) ^a	4-183
Table 4-49. Summary of renal toxicity and tumor findings in inhalation studies of TCE by Maltoni et al. (1988, 1986) ^a	4-184
Table 4-50. Summary of renal tumor findings in inhalation studies of TCE by Henschler et al. (1980) ^a and Fukuda et al. (1983) ^b	4-186
Table 4-51. Summary of renal tumor findings in gavage studies of TCE by Henschler et al. (1984) ^a and Van Duuren et al. (1979) ^b	4-188
Table 4-52. Laboratory animal studies of kidney noncancer toxicity of TCE metabolites	4-190
Table 4-53. Summary of histological changes in renal proximal tubular cells induced by chronic exposure to TCE, DCVC, and TCOH	4-192
Table 4-54. Summary of major mode-of-action conclusions for TCE kidney carcinogenesis	4-201
Table 4-55. Summary of human liver toxicity studies	4-220
Table 4-56. Selected results from epidemiologic studies of TCE exposure and cirrhosis	4-222

Table 4-57. Selected results from epidemiologic studies of TCE exposure and liver cancer.....	4-226
Table 4-58. Oral studies of TCE-induced liver effects in mice and rats.....	4-242
Table 4-59. Inhalation and i.p. studies of TCE-induced liver effects in mice and rats.....	4-245
Table 4-60. Summary of liver tumor findings in gavage studies of TCE by NTP (1990) ^a	4-267
Table 4-61. Summary of liver tumor findings in gavage studies of TCE by NCI (1976).....	4-267
Table 4-62. Summary of liver tumor incidence in gavage studies of TCE by NTP (1988).....	4-268
Table 4-63. Summary of liver tumor findings in inhalation studies of TCE by Maltoni et al. (1988, 1986) ^a	4-269
Table 4-64. Summary of liver tumor findings in inhalation studies of TCE by Henschler et al. (1980) ^a and Fukuda et al. (1983).....	4-270
Table 4-65. Summary of liver tumor findings in gavage studies of TCE by Henschler et al. (1984) ^a	4-271
Table 4-66. Potency indicators for mouse hepatocarcinogenicity and in vitro transactivation of mouse PPAR α for four PPAR α agonists.....	4-331
Table 4-67. Potency indicators for rat hepatocarcinogenicity and common short-term markers of PPAR α activation for four PPAR α agonists.....	4-332
Table 4-68. Summary of mode-of-action conclusions for TCE-induced liver carcinogenesis.....	4-343
Table 4-69. Studies of immune parameters (IgE antibodies and cytokines) and TCE in humans.....	4-356
Table 4-70. Case-control studies of autoimmune diseases with measures of TCE exposure.....	4-365
Table 4-71. Incidence cohort studies of TCE exposure and lymphopoietic and hematopoietic cancer risk.....	4-369
Table 4-72. Mortality cohort and PMR studies of TCE exposure and lymphopoietic and hematopoietic cancer risk.....	4-374
Table 4-73. Case-control studies of TCE exposure and lymphopoietic cancer, leukemia or multiple myeloma.....	4-383
Table 4-74. Geographic-based studies of TCE and NHL or leukemia in adults.....	4-389
Table 4-75. Selected results from epidemiologic studies of TCE exposure and childhood leukemia.....	4-392
Table 4-76. Summary of TCE immunosuppression studies.....	4-402
Table 4-77. Summary of TCE hypersensitivity studies ^a	4-410

Table 4-78. Summary of autoimmune-related studies of TCE and metabolites in mice and rats (by sex, strain, and route of exposure) ^a	4-414
Table 4-79. Malignant lymphomas incidence in mice exposed to TCE in gavage and inhalation exposure studies	4-425
Table 4-80. Leukemia incidence in rats exposed to TCE in gavage and inhalation exposure studies	4-426
Table 4-81. Selected results from epidemiologic studies of TCE exposure and lung cancer	4-432
Table 4-82. Selected results from epidemiologic studies of TCE exposure and laryngeal cancer	4-439
Table 4-83. Animal toxicity studies of TCE.....	4-444
Table 4-84. Animal carcinogenicity studies of TCE	4-452
Table 4-85. Human reproductive effects	4-468
Table 4-86. Summary of mammalian in vivo reproductive toxicity studies— inhalation exposures.....	4-474
Table 4-87. Summary of mammalian in vivo reproductive toxicity studies—oral exposures.....	4-476
Table 4-88. Summary of adverse female reproductive outcomes associated with TCE exposures	4-488
Table 4-89. Summary of adverse male reproductive outcomes associated with TCE exposures	4-489
Table 4-90. Summary of human studies on TCE exposure and prostate cancer	4-494
Table 4-91. Summary of human studies on TCE exposure and breast cancer	4-497
Table 4-92. Summary of human studies on TCE exposure and cervical cancer	4-501
Table 4-93. Histopathology findings in reproductive organs	4-509
Table 4-94. Testicular tumors in male rats exposed to TCE, adjusted for reduced survival ^a	4-510
Table 4-95. Developmental studies in humans	4-512
Table 4-96. Summary of mammalian in vivo developmental toxicity studies— inhalation exposures.....	4-534
Table 4-97. Ocular defects observed (Narotsky et al., 1995)	4-535
Table 4-98. Summary of mammalian in vivo developmental toxicity studies— oral exposures	4-536
Table 4-99. Types of congenital cardiac defects observed in TCE-exposed fetuses.....	4-544
Table 4-100. Types of heart malformations per 100 fetuses	4-545
Table 4-101. Congenital cardiac malformations.....	4-547
Table 4-102. Summary of adverse fetal and early neonatal outcomes associated with TCE exposures.....	4-557

Table 4-103. Summary of studies that identified cardiac malformations associated with TCE exposures	4-558
Table 4-104. Events in cardiac valve formation in mammals and birds ^a	4-562
Table 4-105. Summary of other structural developmental outcomes associated with TCE exposures	4-566
Table 4-106. Summary of developmental neurotoxicity associated with TCE exposures.....	4-568
Table 4-107. Summary of developmental immunotoxicity associated with TCE exposures.....	4-570
Table 4-108. Summary of childhood cancers associated with TCE exposures	4-571
Table 4-109. Selected observations from case-control studies of TCE exposure and esophageal cancer.....	4-574
Table 4-110. Summary of human studies on TCE exposure and esophageal cancer	4-577
Table 4-111. Summary of human studies on TCE exposure and bladder cancer	4-585
Table 4-112. Summary of human studies on TCE exposure and brain cancer	4-591
Table 4-113. Estimated lifestage-specific daily doses for TCE in water ^a	4-597
Table 5-1. Summary of studies of neurological effects suitable for dose-response assessment.....	5-9
Table 5-2. Neurological effects in studies suitable for dose-response assessment, and corresponding cRfCs and cRfDs.....	5-12
Table 5-3. Summary of studies of kidney, liver, and body weight effects suitable for dose-response assessment	5-16
Table 5-4. Kidney, liver, and body weight effects in studies suitable for dose-response assessment, and corresponding cRfCs and cRfDs	5-18
Table 5-5. Summary of studies of immunological effects suitable for dose-response assessment	5-23
Table 5-6. Immunological effects in studies suitable for dose-response assessment, and corresponding cRfCs and cRfDs	5-25
Table 5-7. Summary of studies of reproductive effects suitable for dose-response assessment.....	5-28
Table 5-8. Reproductive effects in studies suitable for dose-response assessment, and corresponding cRfCs and cRfDs.....	5-33
Table 5-9. Summary of studies of developmental effects suitable for dose-response assessment	5-39
Table 5-10. Developmental effects in studies suitable for dose-response assessment, and corresponding cRfCs and cRfDs	5-43

Table 5-11. Ranges of cRfCs based on applied dose for various noncancer effects associated with inhalation TCE exposure ^a	5-47
Table 5-12. Ranges of cRfDs based on applied dose for various noncancer effects associated with oral TCE exposure ^a	5-48
Table 5-13. cRfCs and cRfDs (based on applied dose) and p-cRfCs and p-cRfDs (based on PBPK modeled internal dose-metrics) for candidate critical neurological effects	5-61
Table 5-14. cRfCs and cRfDs (based on applied dose) and p-cRfCs and p-cRfDs (based on PBPK modeled internal dose-metrics) for candidate critical kidney effects	5-63
Table 5-15. cRfCs and cRfDs (based on applied dose) and p-cRfCs and p-cRfDs (based on PBPK modeled internal dose-metrics) for candidate critical liver effects	5-66
Table 5-16. cRfCs and cRfDs (based on applied dose) and p-cRfCs and p-cRfDs (based on PBPK modeled internal dose-metrics) for candidate critical immunological effects	5-67
Table 5-17. cRfCs and cRfDs (based on applied dose) and p-cRfCs and p-cRfDs (based on PBPK modeled internal dose-metrics) for candidate critical reproductive effects	5-69
Table 5-18. cRfCs and cRfDs (based on applied dose) and p-cRfCs and p-cRfDs (based on PBPK modeled internal dose-metrics) for candidate critical developmental effects	5-72
Table 5-19. Comparison of “sensitive individual” HECs or HEDs for neurological effects based on PBPK modeled internal dose-metrics at different levels of confidence and sensitivity, at the NOAEL or LOAEL.....	5-82
Table 5-20. Comparison of “sensitive individual” HECs or HEDs for kidney and liver effects based on PBPK modeled internal dose-metrics at different levels of confidence and sensitivity, at the NOAEL or LOAEL	5-83
Table 5-21. Comparison of “sensitive individual” HECs or HEDs for immunological effects based on PBPK modeled internal dose-metrics at different levels of confidence and sensitivity, at the NOAEL or LOAEL.....	5-85
Table 5-22. Comparison of “sensitive individual” HECs or HEDs for reproductive effects based on PBPK modeled internal dose-metrics at different levels of confidence and sensitivity, at the NOAEL or LOAEL.....	5-86
Table 5-23. Comparison of “sensitive individual” HECs or HEDs for developmental effects based on PBPK modeled internal dose-metrics at different levels of confidence and sensitivity, at the NOAEL or LOAEL.....	5-88
Table 5-24. Lowest p-cRfCs or cRfCs for different effect domains.....	5-90

Table 5-25. Lowest p-cRfDs or cRfDs for different effect domains	5-92
Table 5-26. Lowest p-cRfCs for candidate critical effects for different types of effect based on primary dose-metric.....	5-94
Table 5-27. Lowest p-cRfDs for candidate critical effects for different types of effect based on primary dose-metric.....	5-94
Table 5-28. Summary of critical studies, effects, PODs, and UFs used to derive the RfC.....	5-96
Table 5-29. Summary of supporting studies, effects, PODs, and UFs for the RfC	5-96
Table 5-30. Summary of critical studies, effects, PODs, and UFs used to derive the RfD.....	5-99
Table 5-31. Summary of supporting studies, effects, PODs, and UFs for the RfD.....	5-100
Table 5-32. Inhalation bioassays.....	5-103
Table 5-33. Oral bioassays.....	5-104
Table 5-34. Specific dose-response analyses performed and dose-metrics used.....	5-107
Table 5-35. Mean PBPK model predictions for weekly internal dose in humans exposed continuously to low levels of TCE via inhalation (ppm) or orally (mg/kg/day).....	5-118
Table 5-36. Summary of PODs and unit risk estimates for each sex/species/bioassay/tumor type (inhalation)	5-119
Table 5-37. Summary of PODs and slope factor estimates for each sex/species/bioassay/tumor type (oral)	5-121
Table 5-38. Comparison of survival-adjusted results for three oral male rat data sets ^a	5-124
Table 5-39. Inhalation: most sensitive bioassay for each sex/species combination ^a	5-128
Table 5-40. Oral: most sensitive bioassay for each sex/species combination ^a	5-128
Table 5-41. Summary of PBPK model-based uncertainty analysis of unit risk estimates for each sex/species/bioassay/tumor type (inhalation).....	5-136
Table 5-42. Summary of PBPK model-based uncertainty analysis of slope factor estimates for each sex/species/bioassay/tumor type (oral)	5-137
Table 5-43. Results from Charbotel et al. (2006) on relationship between TCE exposure and RCC	5-140
Table 5-44. Extra risk estimates for RCC incidence from various levels of lifetime exposure to TCE, using linear cumulative exposure model	5-142
Table 5-45. EC ₀₁ , LEC ₀₁ , and unit risk estimates for RCC incidence, using linear cumulative exposure model	5-143
Table 5-46. Relative contributions to extra risk for cancer incidence from TCE exposure for multiple cancer types	5-149

Table 5-47. Route-to-route extrapolation of site-specific inhalation unit risks to oral slope factors	5-152
Table 5-48. Sample calculation for total lifetime cancer risk based on the kidney unit risk estimate, potential risk for NHL and liver cancer, and potential increased early-life susceptibility, assuming a constant lifetime exposure to 1 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ of TCE in air	5-159
Table 5-49. Sample calculation for total lifetime cancer risk based on the kidney cancer slope factor estimate, potential risk for NHL and liver cancer, and potential increased early-life susceptibility, assuming a constant lifetime exposure to 1 $\mu\text{g}/\text{L}$ of TCE in drinking water	5-162

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2-1. Molecular structure of TCE.	2-2
Figure 2-2. Source contribution to TCE emissions.....	2-5
Figure 2-3. Annual emissions of TCE.	2-5
Figure 2-4. Modeled ambient air concentrations of TCE.	2-10
Figure 3-1. Gas uptake data from closed-chamber exposure of rats to TCE.....	3-8
Figure 3-2. Disposition of [¹⁴ C]-TCE administered by gavage in mice.	3-18
Figure 3-3. Disposition of [¹⁴ C]-TCE administered by gavage in rats.	3-19
Figure 3-4. Scheme for the oxidative metabolism of TCE.	3-21
Figure 3-5. Scheme for GSH-dependent metabolism of TCE.	3-36
Figure 3-6. Interorgan TCE transport and metabolism via the GSH pathway.....	3-45
Figure 3-7. Overall structure of PBPK model for TCE and metabolites used in this assessment.....	3-64
Figure 3-8. Schematic of how posterior predictions were generated for comparison with experimental data.	3-104
Figure 3-9. Comparison of mouse data and PBPK model predictions from a random posterior sample.	3-106
Figure 3-10. Comparison of rat data and PBPK model predictions from a random posterior sample.	3-111
Figure 3-11. Comparison of urinary excretion data for NAcDCVC and predictions from the Hack et al. (2006) and the updated PBPK models.....	3-119
Figure 3-12. Comparison of human data and PBPK model predictions from a random posterior sample.	3-120
Figure 3-13. Comparison of DCVG concentrations in human blood and predictions from the updated model.	3-126
Figure 3-14. Sensitivity analysis results: Number of mouse calibration data points with SC in various categories for each scaling parameter.	3-128
Figure 3-15. Sensitivity analysis results: Number of rat calibration data points with SC in various categories for each scaling parameter.	3-129
Figure 3-16. Sensitivity analysis results: Number of human calibration data points with SC in various categories for each scaling parameter.	3-130
Figure 3-17. PBPK model predictions for the fraction of intake that is metabolized under continuous inhalation (A) and oral (B) exposure conditions in mice (white), rats (diagonal hashing), and humans (horizontal hashing).	3-134
Figure 3-18. PBPK model predictions for the fraction of intake that is metabolized by oxidation (in the liver and lung) under continuous inhalation (A) and oral (B)	

exposure conditions in mice (white), rats (diagonal hashing), and humans (horizontal hashing).	3-135
Figure 3-19. PBPK model predictions for the fraction of intake that is metabolized by GSH conjugation (in the liver and kidney) under continuous inhalation (A) and oral (B) exposure conditions in mice (dotted line), rats (dashed line), and humans (solid line).	3-136
Figure 3-20. PBPK model predictions for the fraction of intake that is bioactivated DCVC in the kidney under continuous inhalation (A) and oral (B) exposure conditions in rats (dashed line) and humans (solid line).....	3-137
Figure 3-21. PBPK model predictions for fraction of intake that is oxidized in the respiratory tract under continuous inhalation (A) and oral (B) exposure conditions in mice (dotted line), rats (dashed line), and humans (solid line).....	3-138
Figure 3-22. PBPK model predictions for the fraction of intake that is “untracked” oxidation of TCE in the liver under continuous inhalation (A) and oral (B) exposure conditions in mice (dotted line), rats (dashed line), and humans (solid line).	3-139
Figure 3-23. PBPK model predictions for the weekly AUC of TCE in venous blood (mg-hour/L-week) per unit exposure (ppm or mg/kg-day) under continuous inhalation (A) and oral (B) exposure conditions in mice (dotted line), rats (dashed line), and humans (solid line).....	3-140
Figure 3-24. PBPK model predictions for the weekly AUC of TCOH in blood (mg-hour/L-week) per unit exposure (ppm or mg/kg-day) under continuous inhalation (A) and oral (B) exposure conditions in mice (dotted line), rats (dashed line), and humans (solid line).....	3-141
Figure 3-25. PBPK model predictions for the weekly AUC of TCA in the liver (mg-hour/L-week) per unit exposure (ppm or mg/kg-day) under continuous inhalation (A) and oral (B) exposure conditions in mice (dotted line), rats (dashed line), and humans (solid line).....	3-142
Figure 3-26. Sensitivity analysis results: SC for mouse scaling parameters with respect to dose-metrics following 100 ppm (light bars) and 600 ppm (dark bars), 7 hours/day, 5 days/week inhalation exposures.	3-149
Figure 3-27. Sensitivity analysis results: SC for mouse scaling parameters with respect to dose-metrics following 300 mg/kg-day (light bars) and 1,000 mg/kg-day (dark bars), 5 days/week gavage exposures.	3-150
Figure 3-28. Sensitivity analysis results: SC for rat scaling parameters with respect to dose-metrics following 100 ppm (light bars) and 600 ppm (dark bars), 7 hours/day, 5 days/week inhalation exposures.	3-151

Figure 3-29. Sensitivity analysis results: SC for rat scaling parameters with respect to dose-metrics following 300 mg/kg-day (light bars) and 1,000 mg/kg-day (dark bars), 5 days/week gavage exposures.	3-152
Figure 3-30. Sensitivity analysis results: SC for female (light bars) and male (dark bars) human scaling parameters with respect to dose-metrics following 0.001 ppm continuous inhalation exposures.	3-153
Figure 3-31. Sensitivity analysis results: SC for female (light bars) and male (dark bars) human scaling parameters with respect to dose-metrics following 0.001 mg/kg-day continuous oral exposures.	3-154
Figure 4-1. Meta-analysis of kidney cancer and overall TCE exposure.	4-168
Figure 4-2. Meta-analysis of kidney cancer and TCE exposure—highest exposure groups.	4-170
Figure 4-3. Meta-analysis of liver and biliary tract cancer and overall TCE exposure.	4-236
Figure 4-4. Meta-analysis of liver cancer and TCE exposure—highest exposure groups.	4-237
Figure 4-5. Comparison of average fold-changes in relative liver weight to control and exposure concentrations of 2 g/L or less in drinking water for TCA and DCA in male B6C3F ₁ mice for 14–30 days.	4-279
Figure 4-6. Comparisons of fold-changes in average relative liver weight and gavage dose of (top panel) male B6C3F ₁ mice for 10–28 days of exposure and (bottom panel) in male B6C3F ₁ and Swiss mice.	4-281
Figure 4-7. Comparison of fold-changes in relative liver weight for data sets in male B6C3F ₁ , Swiss, and NRMI mice between TCE studies [duration 28–42 days]) and studies of direct oral TCA administration to B6C3F ₁ mice [duration 14–28 days)]. ...	4-283
Figure 4-8. Comparison of hepatomegaly as a function of AUC of TCA in liver, using values for the TCA drinking water fractional absorption (Fabs).	4-285
Figure 4-9. Fold-changes in relative liver weight for data sets in male B6C3F ₁ , Swiss, and NRMI mice reported by TCE studies of duration 28–42 days using internal dose-metrics predicted by the PBPK model described in Section 3.5: (A) dose-metric is the median estimate of the daily AUC of TCE in blood, (B) dose-metric is the median estimate of the total daily rate of TCE oxidation.	4-286
Figure 4-11. Dose-response relationship, expressed as (A) incidence and (B) fold-increase over controls, for TCE hepatocarcinogenicity in Maltoni et al. (1988, 1986).	4-301
Figure 4-12. Dose-response data for HCCs (A) incidence and (B) multiplicity, induced by DCA from DeAngelo et al. (1999).	4-302
Figure 4-14. Reported incidence of HCCs induced by DCA and TCA in 104-week studies.	4-306

Figure 4-15. Effects of dietary control on the dose-response curves for changes in liver tumor incidences induced by CH in diet.....	4-309
Figure 4-16. Meta-analysis of NHL and overall TCE exposure.....	4-397
Figure 4-17. Meta-analysis of NHL and TCE exposure—highest exposure groups.	4-398
Figure 5-1. Flow-chart of the process used to derive the RfD and RfC for noncancer effects.....	5-3
Figure 5-2. Flow-chart for dose-response analyses of rodent noncancer effects using PBPK model-based dose-metrics.....	5-58
Figure 5-3. Schematic of combined interspecies, intraspecies, and route-to-route extrapolation from a rodent study LOAEL or NOAEL.	5-59
Figure 5-4. Flow-chart for uncertainty analysis of HECs and HEDs derived using PBPK model-based dose-metrics.....	5-80
Figure 5-5. Flow-chart for dose-response analyses of rodent bioassays using PBPK model-based dose-metrics.....	5-117
Figure 5-6. Flow-chart for uncertainty analysis of dose-response analyses of rodent bioassays using PBPK model-based dose-metrics.....	5-134
Figure 5-7. Flow-chart for route-to-route extrapolation of human site-specific cancer inhalation unit risks to oral slope factors.	5-151

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

[¹⁴ C]TCE	[¹⁴ C]-radiolabeled TCE
1,2-DCVC	S-(1,2-dichlorovinyl)-L-cysteine
17-β-HSD	17-β-hydroxy steroid dehydrogenase
8-OHdG	8-hydroxy-2' deoxyguanosine
ACO	acyl CoA oxidase
ADAF	age-dependent adjustment factor
ADME	absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion
AIC	Akaike's Information Criteria
ALL	acute lymphoblastic leukemia
ALP	alkaline phosphatase
ALT	alanine aminotransferase
ANA	antinuclear antibodies
ANCA	antineutrophil-cytoplasmic antibody
ANOVA	analysis of variance
AOAA	a beta-lyase inhibitor
ASD	autism spectrum disorder
ASPEN	Assessment System for Population Exposure Nationwide
AST	aspartate aminotransferase
ATF-2	activating transcription factor 2
ATSDR	Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry
AUC	area-under-the-curve
AV	atrioventricular
AVC	atrioventricular canal
AZ DHS	Arizona Department of Health Services
BAER	brainstem auditory-evoked response
BAL	bronchoalveolar lavage
BMD	benchmark dose
BMDL	benchmark dose lower bound
BMDS	BenchMark Dose Software
BMI	body mass index
BMR	benchmark response
BUN	blood urea nitrogen
CA DHS	California Department of Health Services
CH	chloral hydrate
CI	confidence interval
CLL	chronic lymphocytic leukemia
CNS	central nervous system
CO ₂	carbon dioxide
CoA	coenzyme A
cRfC	candidate RfC
cRfD	candidate RfD
CRT	choice reaction time
CYP	cytochrome P450

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS (continued)

DAL	dichloroacetyl lysine
DASO ₂	diallyl sulfone
DBP	dibutyl phthalate
DCA	dichloroacetic acid
DCAA	dichloroacetic anhydride
DCAC	dichloroacetyl chloride
DCE	dichloroethylene
DCVC	S-dichlorovinyl-L-cysteine (collectively, the 1,2- and 2,2- isomers)
DCVG	S-dichlorovinyl-L-glutathione (collectively, the 1,2- and 2,2- isomers)
DEHP	di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate
DHEAS	dehydroepiandrosterone sulphate
DNA	deoxyribonucleic acid
DNP	dinitrophenol
DPM	disintegrations per minute
dsDNA	double-stranded DNA
EC _x	concentration of the chemical at which x% of the maximal effect is produced
EEG	electroencephalograph
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
ERG	electroretinogram
ESRD	end stage renal disease
FAA	fumarylacetoacetate
FDVE	fluoromethyl-2,2-difluoro-1-(trifluoromethyl)vinyl ether
FMO	flavin mono-oxygenase
FOB	functional observational battery
FSH	follicle-stimulating hormone
G6PDH	glucose 6-p dehydrogenase
GABA	gamma-amino butyric acid
G-CSF	granulocyte colony stimulating factor
GD	gestation day
GGT	γ-glutamyl transpeptidase or γ-transpeptidase
GI	gastrointestinal
GIS	geographic information system
GSD	geometric standard deviation
GSH	glutathione
GSSG	oxidized GSH
GST	glutathione-S-transferase
GT	glutamyl transferase
H&E	hematoxylin and eosin
H ₂ O	water
HCC	hepatocellular carcinoma
hCG	human chorionic gonadotropin
HCl	hydrochloric acid
HDL-C	high density lipoprotein-cholesterol
HEC	human equivalent concentration

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS (continued)

HED	human equivalent dose
HgCl ₂	mercuric chloride
HH	Hamberger and Hamilton
HPLC	high-performance liquid chromatography
HPT	hypothalamic-pituitary-testis
i.a.	intra-arterial
i.p.	intraperitoneal
i.v.	intravenous
IARC	International Agency for Research on Cancer
ICC	intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma
ICD	International Classification of Disease
ICRP	The International Commission on Radiological Protection
idPOD	internal dose points of departure
IDR	incidence density ratio
IFN	interferon
IgE	immunoglobulin E
IGF-II	insulin-like growth factor-II (gene)
IL	interleukin
IPCS	International Programme on Chemical Safety
IUGR	intrauterine growth restriction
JEM	job-exposure matrix
JTEM	job-task-exposure matrix
LC	lethal concentration
LCL	lower confidence limit
LDH	lactate dehydrogenase
LEC _x	lowest effective concentration corresponding to an extra risk of x%
LH	luteinizing hormone
lnPBC	blood-air partition coefficient
lnQCC	cardiac output
lnVMAXC	VMAX for oxidation
lnVPRC	ventilation-perfusion ratio
LOAEL	lowest-observed adverse effect level
LOH	loss of heterozygosity
LORR	loss of righting reflex
MA	maleylacetone
MA DPH	Massachusetts Department of Public Health
MAA	maleylacetoacetate
MCA	monochloroacetic acid
MCMC	Markov chain Monte Carlo
MCP	methylclofenapate
MDA	malondialdehyde
MLE	maximum likelihood estimate
MNU	methyl nitrosourea

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS (continued)

MS	mass spectrometry
MSW	multistage Weibull
NAcDCVC	N-acetyl-S-(1,2-dichlorovinyl)-L-cysteine
NADH	nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide
NADPH	nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate-oxidase
NAG	N-acetyl- β -D-glucosaminidase
NAS	National Academy of Sciences
NAT	N-acetyl transferase
NCI	National Cancer Institute
NF- κ B	nuclear factor kappa-light-chain enhancer of activated B cells
NHL	non-Hodgkin lymphoma
NK	natural killer
NOAEL	no-observed-adverse-effect level
NOEC	no-observed-effect concentration
NOEL	no-observed-effect level
NPMC	nonpurified rat peritoneal mast cells
NRC	National Research Council
NSATA	National-Scale Air Toxics Assessment
NTP	National Toxicology Program
NYS DOH	New York State Department of Health
ODE	ordinary differential equation
OECD	Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development
OFT	outflow tract
OP	oscillatory potential
OR	odds ratio
OR _{adj}	adjusted odds ratio
PAS	periodic acid-Schiff
PBPK	physiologically based pharmacokinetics
PCEs	polychromatic erythrocytes
PCNA	proliferating cell nuclear antigen
PCO	palmitoyl-CoA oxidase
PCR	polymerase chain reaction
p-cRfC	PBPK model-based candidate RfCs
p-cRfD	PBPK model-based candidate RfDs
PEG 400	polyethylene glycol 400
PFC	plaque-forming cell
PFU	plaque-forming units
PMR	proportionate mortality ratio
PND	postnatal day
PO ₂	partial pressure oxygen
POD	point of departure
PPAR	peroxisome proliferator activated receptor
RBL-2H3	rat basophilic leukemia

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS (continued)

RCC	renal cell carcinoma
RfC	inhalation reference concentration
RfD	oral reference dose
RNA	ribonucleic acid
RR	relative risk
RRm	summary RR
RT	reaction time
S9	metabolic activation system
SBA	serum bile acids
SC	sensitivity coefficient
SCE	sister chromatid exchange
SD	standard deviation
SDH	sorbitol dehydrogenase
SE	standard error
SEER	Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results
SES	socioeconomic status
SGA	small for gestational age
SHBG	sex-hormone binding globulin
SIR	standardized incidence ratio
SMR	standardized mortality ratio
SNP	single nucleotide polymorphism
SRBC	sheep red blood cells
SRT	simple reaction time
SSB	single-strand breaks
SSCP	single strand conformation polymorphism
ssDNA	single-stranded DNA
TaClo	tetrahydro-beta-carbolines
TBARS	thiobarbiturate acid-reactive substances
TCA	trichloroacetic acid
TCAA	trichloroacetaldehyde
TCAH	trichloroacetaldehyde hydrate
TCE	trichloroethylene
TCOG	trichloroethanol-glucuronide conjugate
TCOH	trichloroethanol
ThX	T-helper Type X
TNF	tumor necrosis factor
TRI	Toxics Release Inventory
TSEP	trigeminal somatosensory evoked potential
TTC	total trichloro compounds
TWA	time-weighted average

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS (continued)

U.S. EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
UCL	upper confidence limit
UDS	unscheduled DNA synthesis
UF	uncertainty factor
USGS	United States Geological Survey
U-TCA	urinary-TCA
U-TTC	urinary total trichloro-compounds
VEGF	vascular endothelial growth factor
VEP	visual evoked potential
<i>VHL</i>	von Hippel-Lindau
VLivC	liver volume
VOC	volatile organic compound
VSCC	voltage sensitive calcium channel
W	wakefulness
WHO	World Health Organization
YFF	fluorescent Y-bodies

FOREWORD

The purpose of this Toxicological Review is to provide scientific support and rationale for the hazard and dose-response assessment in IRIS pertaining to chronic exposure to trichloroethylene. It is not intended to be a comprehensive treatise on the chemical or toxicological nature of trichloroethylene.

The intent of Chapter 6, *Major Conclusions in the Characterization of Hazard and Dose Response*, is to present the major conclusions reached in the derivation of the reference dose, reference concentration and cancer assessment, where applicable, and to characterize the overall confidence in the quantitative and qualitative aspects of hazard and dose response by addressing the quality of the data and related uncertainties. The discussion is intended to convey the limitations of the assessment and to aid and guide the risk assessor in the ensuing steps of the risk assessment process.

For other general information about this assessment or other questions relating to IRIS, the reader is referred to EPA's IRIS Hotline at (202) 566-1676 (phone), (202) 566-1749 (fax), or hotline.iris@epa.gov (email address).

AUTHORS, CONTRIBUTORS, AND REVIEWERS

CHEMICAL MANAGER

Weihshueh A. Chiu
National Center for Environmental Assessment—Washington Office
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Washington, DC

AUTHORS

Ambuja Bale
National Center for Environmental Assessment—Immediate Office
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Washington, DC

Stanley Barone
National Center for Environmental Assessment—Immediate Office
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Washington, DC

Rebecca Brown
National Center for Environmental Assessment—Washington Office
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Washington, DC

Jane C. Caldwell
National Center for Environmental Assessment—Washington Office
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Washington, DC

Chao Chen
National Center for Environmental Assessment—Washington Office
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Washington, DC

Weihshueh A. Chiu
National Center for Environmental Assessment—Washington Office
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Washington, DC

Glinda Cooper
National Center for Environmental Assessment—Immediate Office
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Washington, DC

AUTHORS, CONTRIBUTORS, AND REVIEWERS (continued)

Ghazi Dannan

National Center for Environmental Assessment—Washington Office

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Washington, DC

Marina Evans

National Health and Environmental Effects Research Laboratory

(on detail to National Center for Environmental Assessment—Washington Office)

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Research Triangle Park, NC

John Fox

National Center for Environmental Assessment—Washington Office

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Washington, DC

Kathryn Z. Guyton

National Center for Environmental Assessment—Washington Office

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Washington, DC

Maureen R. Gwinn

National Center for Environmental Assessment—Washington Office

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Washington, DC

Jennifer Jinot

National Center for Environmental Assessment—Washington Office

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Washington, DC

Nagalakshmi Keshava

National Center for Environmental Assessment—Washington Office

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Washington, DC

John Lipscomb

National Center for Environmental Assessment—Cincinnati Office

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Cincinnati, OH

AUTHORS, CONTRIBUTORS, AND REVIEWERS (continued)

Susan Makris
National Center for Environmental Assessment—Washington Office
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Washington, DC

Miles Okino
National Exposure Research Laboratory—Las Vegas Office
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Las Vegas, NV

Fred Power
National Exposure Research Laboratory—Las Vegas Office
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Las Vegas, NV

John Schaum
National Center for Environmental Assessment—Washington Office
Office of Research and Development
Washington, DC

Cheryl Siegel Scott
National Center for Environmental Assessment—Washington Office
Office of Research and Development
Washington, DC

REVIEWERS

This document has been reviewed by U.S. EPA scientists, reviewers from other Federal agencies and White House offices, and the public, and peer reviewed by independent scientists external to U.S. EPA. A summary and U.S. EPA's disposition of the comments received from the independent external peer reviewers and from the public is included in Appendix I.

INTERNAL EPA REVIEWERS

Daniel Axelrad
National Center for Environmental Economics

Robert Benson
U.S. EPA Region 8

AUTHORS, CONTRIBUTORS, AND REVIEWERS (continued)

Ted Birner
National Center for Environmental Assessment—Immediate Office

Nancy Chiu
Office of Water

Joyce Donohue
Office of Water

David Farrar
National Center for Environmental Assessment—Cincinnati Office

Lynn Flowers
National Center for Environmental Assessment—Immediate Office

Brenda Foos
Office of Children's Health Protection and Environmental Education

Stiven Foster
Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response

Susan Griffin
U.S. EPA Region 8

Samantha Jones
National Center for Environmental Assessment—Immediate Office

Leonid Kopylev
National Center for Environmental Assessment—Washington Office

Allan Marcus
National Center for Environmental Assessment—Immediate Office

Margaret McDonough
U.S. EPA Region 1

Gregory Miller
Office of Children's Health Protection and Environmental Education

Deirdre Murphy
Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards

Marian Olsen
U.S. EPA Region 2

AUTHORS, CONTRIBUTORS, AND REVIEWERS (continued)

Peter Preuss

(formerly) National Center for Environmental Assessment—Immediate Office

Kathleen Raffaele

(formerly) National Center for Environmental Assessment—Washington Office

Nancy Rios-Jafolla

U.S. EPA Region 3

William Sette

Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response

Bob Sonawane

National Center for Environmental Assessment—Washington Office

Suryanarayana Vulimiri

National Center for Environmental Assessment—Washington Office

Nina Ching Y. Wang

National Center for Environmental Assessment—Cincinnati Office

Paul White

National Center for Environmental Assessment—Washington Office

Marcia Bailey

U.S. EPA Region 10

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Drafts of Section 3.3 (TCE metabolism) were prepared for the U.S. EPA by Syracuse Research Corporation under contract. Additional support, including literature searches and retrievals and drafts of Appendix D were prepared for the U.S. EPA by the Oak Ridge Institute for Science and Education (ORISE) through interagency agreement number DW-89939822-01-0 with the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE). ORISE is managed by Oak Ridge Associated Universities under a contract with DOE. The PBPK modeling sections of this report are dedicated to the memory of Fred Power (1938–2007). His keen analytical mind will be greatly missed, but his gentle heart and big smile will be missed even more.

Additionally, we gratefully acknowledge Terri Konoza and Ellen Lorang of NCEA for their management of the document production and reference/citation management processes. Technical editing support was provided by ICF International; IntelliTech Systems, Inc.; ECFlex, Inc.; and Syracuse Research Corporation.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

There is substantial potential for human exposure to trichloroethylene (TCE), as it has a widespread presence in ambient air, indoor air, soil, and groundwater. At the same time, humans are likely to be exposed to a variety of compounds that are either metabolites of TCE or which have common metabolites or targets of toxicity. Once exposed, humans, as well as laboratory animal species, rapidly absorb TCE, which is then distributed to tissues via systemic circulation, extensively metabolized, and then excreted primarily in breath as unchanged TCE or carbon dioxide, or in urine as metabolites.

Based on the available human epidemiologic data and experimental and mechanistic studies, it is concluded that TCE poses a potential human health hazard for noncancer toxicity to the central nervous system, kidney, liver, immune system, male reproductive system, and the developing fetus. The evidence is more limited for TCE toxicity to the respiratory tract and female reproductive system. Following U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ([U.S. EPA, 2005b](#)) *Guidelines for Carcinogen Risk Assessment*, TCE is characterized as “*carcinogenic in humans by all routes of exposure*.” This conclusion is based on convincing evidence of a causal association between TCE exposure in humans and kidney cancer. The human evidence of carcinogenicity from epidemiologic studies of TCE exposure is strong for non-Hodgkin Lymphoma but less convincing than for kidney cancer, and more limited for liver and biliary tract cancer. Less human evidence is found for an association between TCE exposure and other types of cancer, including bladder, esophageal, prostate, cervical, breast, and childhood leukemia, breast. Further support for the characterization of TCE as “*carcinogenic in humans by all routes of exposure*” is derived from positive results in multiple rodent cancer bioassays in rats and mice of both sexes, similar toxicokinetics between rodents and humans, mechanistic data supporting a mutagenic mode of action for kidney tumors, and the lack of mechanistic data supporting the conclusion that any of the mode(s) of action for TCE-induced rodent tumors are irrelevant to humans.

As TCE toxicity and carcinogenicity are generally associated with TCE metabolism, susceptibility to TCE health effects may be modulated by factors affecting toxicokinetics, including lifestage, gender, genetic polymorphisms, race/ethnicity, preexisting health status, lifestyle, and nutrition status. In addition, while these some of these factors are known risk factors for effects associated with TCE exposure, it is not known how TCE interacts with known risk factors for human diseases.

For noncancer effects, the most sensitive types of effects, based either on human equivalent concentrations/doses or on candidate inhalation reference concentrations (RfCs)/oral reference doses (RfDs), appear to be developmental, kidney, and immunological (adult and developmental) effects. The neurological and reproductive effects appear to be about an order of

magnitude less sensitive, with liver effects another two orders of magnitude less sensitive. The RfC of **0.0004 ppm** (0.4 ppb or $2 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) is based on route-to-route extrapolated results from oral studies for the critical effects of heart malformations (rats) and immunotoxicity (mice). This RfC value is further supported by route-to-route extrapolated results from an oral study of toxic nephropathy (rats). Similarly, the RfD for noncancer effects of **0.0005 mg/kg/day** is based on the critical effects of heart malformations (rats), adult immunological effects (mice), and developmental immunotoxicity (mice), all from oral studies. This RfD value is further supported by results from an oral study for the effect of toxic nephropathy (rats) and route-to-route extrapolated results from an inhalation study for the effect of increased kidney weight (rats). There is high confidence in these noncancer reference values, as they are supported by moderate-to-high confidence estimates for multiple effects from multiple studies.

For cancer, the inhalation unit risk is 2×10^{-2} per ppm [4×10^{-6} per $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$], based on human kidney cancer risks reported by Charbotel et al. (2006) and adjusted, using human epidemiologic data, for potential risk for NHL and liver cancer. The oral unit risk for cancer is 5×10^{-2} per mg/kg/day, resulting from physiologically based pharmacokinetic model-based route-to-route extrapolation of the inhalation unit risk based on the human kidney cancer risks reported in Charbotel et al. (2006) and adjusted, using human epidemiologic data, for potential risk for NHL and liver cancer. There is high confidence in these unit risks for cancer, as they are based on good quality human data, as well as being similar to unit risk estimates based on multiple rodent bioassays. There is both sufficient weight of evidence to conclude that TCE operates through a mutagenic mode of action for kidney tumors and a lack of TCE-specific quantitative data on early-life susceptibility. Generally, the application of age-dependent adjustment factors (ADAFs) is recommended when assessing cancer risks for a carcinogen with a mutagenic mode of action. However, because the ADAF adjustment applies only to the kidney cancer component of the total risk, it is likely to have a minimal impact on the total cancer risk except when exposures are primarily during early life.